About: Achieving Objectives in Meetings

("Achieving Objectives in Meetings" ("AOM") was the nation's (world's?) first book with a system for controlling the development of business meeting agendas and programming. All previous books had been discursive, with advice to perform certain functions but without providing how-to materials or instructions.

In addition, a number of multi-author books were published and proved popular. However, although the advice given by each author could be excellent, individual articles did not necessarily comport with its neighbors; and, if taken together, they did not deliver a workable system. So it was cut-and-paste all selected materials into a possibly-deficient personal style of approach. Assisted chaos?

In contrast, the how-to materials of "AOM" were those developed by the author while a co-originator of the first consulting service for the business-stage--at United Attractions, Chicago, in 1960. United was then the producer of banquet entertainment for major national business associations, two of which requested business-stage service. At that time, business-stage service was not available from producers except as an adjunct to a dog-and-pony show . . . not wanted by the associations. United's advice: Use simple visual material as learning aids in the convention address. Soon, other associations responded, as did some corporate members who viewed the presentations: Professionalizing of the business meetings field had just begun!

Again: "AOM" deliver a system, together with the word's first PERT Diagram that visualized the control of various (committee?) functions. These function lines relate to the "Coordination and Control" material in Section IV. With a PERT Diagram properly employed, forgetfulness or duplicate efforts disappear. However, a meetings industry freebie magazine then printed a paper-flow chart (how an envelope travels from office receipt to recipient) and called it a PERT Diagram—as if any complicated chart will do. No complaints from the meetings industry 'authorities' in a trade which was slow in becoming professionalized.

Until 1960, visuals in business meetings were considered to be extraneous. Acceptance and growth of the biz-stage concept grew in association meetings (and then their corporate clients) until 1964. At the NYC World's Fair of 1964, Kodak stunned the Fair with its Spectacular! hemispheric, overhead screen. Instantly, insecure business-meeting callers demanded 'Spectacular!' as the salvation of their own meetings. Emphasis shifted from meetings content to presentation techniques. Kodak was selling film; most business executives and their companies are not.

Worse, in the same Fair year, Marshall McLuhan's mantra ("The medium is the message") cemented the defective practices, and the purveyors to the new 'Spectaculars' industry acted and benefited: the majority of purveyors took over all meetings-associations' voting system (decades to morph fully) before the advent of the web—when magazine editors still controlled access to print. Meetings Content lost the competition for space and articles. Attention went toward production and presentation style. McLuhan's mantra was discredited, but the mantra is still used by purveyors to meetings to sell 'stuff'.

Update: The importance of intelligent content to employee communications and also the irrelevance of 'Spectacular!' were proved to every company that already produced such major (usually sales staff) showy programs at high cost in huge venues . . . in order to be 'current'. Change vehicle: COVID-19!

COVID forced most major-show user-companies to cancel their national meetings. Then remote-work forced the use of simple communications like phone calls and e-mail. Surprise! Company employees

could understand and work with clear statements of issue, assignment, and expectations! Productivity remained at about the same productivity levels . . . some, a little lower and some, a little higher. But companies survived . . . while saving huge amounts of money and production problems. New issue: Were those companies prepared to enhance their delivery methods for program objectives/messages?

Brief calendar: Following the end of WWII, central bashes (called 'executive conferences') for previously-stressed executives became the business norm. Such conventions stressed relaxation and fun-and-games. When the IRS began to demand evidence of business purpose for deductions, the conventions were expanded—most often into national sales meetings. Executives can benefit from meeting their peers; national sales forces cannot.

Current problem: Despite having seen that better results are obtained in meetings and training programs with smaller groups in regional and/or local settings, many large companies have not yet digested the meanings of their own COVID experience: Concentrate on their own purposes and objective, each time! Therefore, the temptation to return to business-as-usual is being nursed by special travel and hotel deals by the purveyors who have the most to lose by any corporate return to sanity when it's delivered by response to the needs of the individual meeting-callers and their messages and directives . . . not to the hyped 'need' for 'Spectacular!' components and their attendant high costs.

So, the meetings *field*, while undeveloped, became today's commercialized meetings *industry*. Distinguish between the field (company meetings coordinators/planners; university researchers; needed suppliers) and the industry (purveyors that dominate votes and direction to company-users). During half a century, there's been no un-biased, independent research done or sponsored, nor is there any acknowledgement of university research with contrary findings.

Solution: Companies, address your real meetings needs for grounded/factual format, intelligently presented, together with a logical assignment for each participant . . . Forget your purveyor-taught second-hand wants.

Helper: "AOM" or its expanded update cousin, ten years later: Dow Jones-Irwin's "Sales Meetings That Work." Same how to materials-reused, plus an updated PERT /Diagram, slightly enhanced by reader/user comments.

Finally: How was "AOM" accepted? At a NY convention of meetings planners on publication (1973), "AOM's" meetings-field publisher distributed the enclosed dice game with a pair of mini-dice . . . and challenged all attendees to play the Meetings Game . . . and to succeed by a roll-of-the-dice (tiny pair attached in plastic bag to each game sheet), if they could. Most did not, but they learned the lesson: You can plan your program well—but unforeseeable things often happen. Planning is essential, BUT: Cavalier's warning: "You can plan for sun at a picnic without affecting the weather." Needed: a Plan B for every essential/non-repeatable segment—to avoid overall disasters.

Like the game? The year noted in the center was current on introduction. But it's not related to the sum of the spiral returns or any other gimmick. The year '73 was the number of boxes—fifty more years will not be added; so consider the process for the time when playing the game.

"AOM" was created by an author who helped to create the biz-stage function that set the parameters for all subsequent professionalizing efforts. Industry problem: Purveyor voting control and viewpoints. The business-needs viewpoint that has been presented as a rational approach has not survived the profit motive of the purveyors. When purveyors control the votes in a user-company association, might there

be built-in conflicts of interest? If so, how does your company protect itself? Learn from "AOM"! Is the book flawless? No. Two complaints: a) An industry magazine criticized the "turgid prose' of the book, as exemplified by an obvious error during paste-up of the manuscript (long prior to the web). No industry publisher wanted the book. So its meetings-company publisher, Corporate Movement, used typewritten pages and a letter press. Unfortunately, an unnoticed new thought was covered, leading to an obvious glitch with sentence that didn't comport over a half-empty line, followed by a line that started, without capital letter, in mid-sentence. Seek a flaw?

The books were already printed, but a rubber stamp added the missing sentence's starting words—before first shipment. New perfection? b) the book does not have a traditional index because the same terms appear so often in so many different contexts that a string of page numbers is worthless and time-consuming. Traditional indexes search 'words'; this Author correlated concepts and ideas in sections. If you knew what you wanted to find, you can find the topic easily.

Useful? Books were shipped on order with invoice following. Several of the purchasers had left their companies before the invoices were received—all had taken "AOM" with them.

Starters and best professional helps are indicated in the book-cover banner above. The blue-cover "SMTW" book is an identical reprint of the original DJ-I book—itself now out of print—no click. Yet, because "Sales Meetings That Work" was an updated cousin of "AAD" and re-used its system and forms, it was also pre-proved in action. Only the PERT Diagram was slightly enhanced, via user comments. A half century later, intelligent communication still works! Reason and facts apparently couldn't convince the meetings industry. Tough times can: Thanks to COVID-19?

END

who sall a game?

Anybody who thinks he can create a perfect meeting by chance!

If you know meeting planning, you've realized that the likelihood of succeeding by chance is slim. The better way, obviously, is to take the first, right step.

Coordination is a precise and indispensible part of success. And Meeting success is a matter first of knowing what needs to be done. . . then of knowing how to do it. . . then of measuring results to validate the success. . . and building this feedback into plans for the next meeting.

Meeting success is a gamble if any one of these steps is omitted.

If you take the first step outlined - defining purpose - all else follows.

orporate Movement understands that secret. We help you to think, to weigh alternatives and select, and to implement the selections.

Only Corporate Movement can offer a comprehensive meeting service. . . because we understand you and your needs. We can coordinate details in your name. . . freeing you for the sensitive tasks and decisions which must be yours.

Our viewpoint is different. . . We want the coordination and program development responsibilities and all that it implies. We offer the services by which to create successful meetings everytime:

Consultation

Coordination and production of

- † Message
- † Miedia
- † Transportation
- † Facilities

Post-meeting analysis

Our Personal Involvement in your plans and objectives — is the critical difference whatever our specific assignment. Request all or any of the services you need, as a comprehensive package or as single component.

Need proof? Take a chance: Roll a pair of dice against prospects for a successful meeting. The game is in the center fold of this brochure.

Play it now.

